ORIGINAL



100

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION FROM COMMISSION 1 **COMMISSIONERS** MIKE GLEASON, Chairman 7083 DEC -8 A 9:5! 3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES 4 **GARY PIERCE** 5 CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, DOCKET NO. W-02113A-07-0551 INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION FOR A 6 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING WITNESS PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS **SUMMARIES** 8 RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY BASED THEREON. 9 Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby provides the witness 10 summaries for Messrs. Gordon Fox, Marlin Scott, Jr. and Marvin Millsap. 11 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8th day of December, 2008. 12 13 14 Robin R. Mitchell, Staff Counsel 15 Amanda Ho, Staff Counsel Arizona Corporation Commission Wesley C. Van Cleve, Staff Counsel 16 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 1200 West Washington Street 17 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 DEC - 8 2008 18 (602) 542-3402 DOCKETED BY 19 20 21 22 23 24 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 8 th day of December, 2008 with: 25 26 **Docket Control** 27 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 28 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	
1	Copies of the foregoing were mailed this 8 th day of December, 2008 to:
2	day of Becomber, 2000 to.
3	Norman D. James Jay L. Shapiro
4	FÉNNEMORE CRAIG
5	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
6	Attorneys for Chaparral City Water Co.
7	Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel RUCO
8	1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2958
10	Phil Green OB SPORTS F/B MANAGEMENT
11	Pacific Life Insurance Co. dba Eagle
12	Mountain Golf Club 7025 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 550
13	Scottsdale, AZ 85254-2159
14	
15	
16	
17	table Gloge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

SUMMARY OF PRE-FILED TESTIMONY CHAPPARAL CITYWATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-02113A-07-0551

The pre-filed testimony of Staff witness Gordon L. Fox addresses the following issues:

Operating Income Calculation – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a method of calculating operating income that largely follows the method adopted in Chaparral City Water Company, Inc.'s ("Chaparral City" or "Applicant") remand proceeding (Decision No. 70441). Staff's specific recommendation modestly refines the previously adopted method to more closely follow financial theory and to symmetrically match the inflation components recognized in the fair value rate of return ("FVROR") and fair value rate base ("FVRB").

Staff further recommends that the Commission reject the Applicant's proposal to calculate operating income by multiplying the weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") by the fair value rate base ("FVRB") for the same reason that method was rejected in Decision No. 70441 – it overstates the impact of inflation resulting in rates that are not just and reasonable.

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY OF MARLIN SCOTT, JR. FOR CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-02113A-07-0551

CONCLUSIONS

- A. The Chaparral City Water Company ("Company") water system's current source and storage capacity are adequate to serve the present customer base and reasonable growth.
- B. The Maricopa County Environmental Service Department has reported no major deficiencies and has determined that the Company's system, PWS #07-017, is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards required by the Arizona Administrative Code, Title 18, Chapter 4.
- C. The Company is located in the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Phoenix Active Management Area and ADWR has reported that the Company is in compliance with its requirements governing water providers and/or community water systems.
- D. The Company has no delinquent Arizona Corporation Commission compliance issues.
- E. The Company has an approved curtailment tariff that became effective on October 1, 2005.
- F. The Company has an approved backflow prevention tariff that became effective on October 1, 2005.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Company is aware of its 15.9% water loss amount and believes the Central Arizona Project's ("CAP") intake meter is not accurately registering. For this reason, the Company will be installing its own CAP water meter at its Shea Water Treatment Plant.

Staff recommends that after the Company completes its own CAP water meter installation, the Company should begin a 12-month monitoring exercise of its water system. Staff further recommends that the Company docket the results of the system monitoring as a compliance item in this case by November 1, 2009. If

the reported water loss for the period from October 1, 2008 through October 1, 2009, is greater than 10%, the Company shall prepare a report containing a detailed analysis and plan to reduce water loss to 10% or less. If the Company believes it is not cost effective to reduce water loss to less than 10%, it should submit a detailed cost benefit analysis to support its opinion. This report shall be docketed as a compliance item for this proceeding for review and certification by Staff. The above report or cost benefit analysis, if required, shall be docketed by December 31, 2009.

- 2. Staff recommends its average annual cost of \$25,638 be adopted for the water testing expense in this proceeding.
- 3. Staff recommends its adjusted Original Cost value of \$48,972,590 and Reproduction Cost New value of \$76,031,428 be used as a guideline for purposes of setting rates in this proceeding.
- 4. Staff recommends that approximately half of the requested CAP Water allocation of 966 acre-feet per year be considered used and useful.
- 5. Staff recommends that the Company continue to use Staff's depreciation rates by individual National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners.
- 6. Staff recommends that the Company continue to use its unchanged service line and meter installation charges.

SUMMARY OF THE TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS MARVIN E. MILLSAP CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, INC. DOCKET NO. W-02113A-07-0551

Chaparral City Water Company, Inc. ("Chaparral City" or "Company") is an Arizona-based corporation that provides water utility service to the Town of Fountain Hills which is located along the eastern city limits of Scottsdale within Maricopa County. The Company served approximately 13,500 customers during the test year ended December 31, 2006. The Company's current rates were approved in Decision No. 68176, dated September 30, 2005, and became effective on October 1, 2005. Chaparral City's sole shareholder is American States Water Company, which is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

The Company proposes rates that would produce operating revenue of \$10,515,017 and operating income of \$2,681,268 for a 9.32 percent rate of return on a fair value rate base ("FVRB") of \$28,768,975. The Company's proposal would increase annual operating revenues by \$3,068,317, or 41.20 percent, over test year revenues of \$7,446,700. Under the Company's proposed rates, the average residential ¾-inch meter customer consuming 8,450 gallons per month would experience an \$11.79, or 36.41 percent, increase in his/her monthly bill from \$32.37 to \$44.16.

Staff recommends total annual operating revenue of \$9,181,965 and operating income of \$2,055,831 for a 7.60 percent rate of return on a FVRB of \$27,050,414. Staff's recommended revenue represents an increase of \$1,735,265, or 23.30 percent, over test year revenues of \$7,446,700. Under Staff's recommended rates, the average residential ¾-inch meter customer consuming 8,450 gallons per month would experience a \$4.09, or 12.63 percent, increase in his/her monthly bill from \$32.37 to \$36.46.

Staff's recommended rates would have a residential ¾-inch meter customer consuming the median usage of 5,500 gallons per month paying \$27.85, or \$2.91 more than the current \$24.94 for a 11.67 percent increase. By comparison, a residential ¾-inch meter customer consuming the median usage of 5,500 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$34.03, or \$9.09 more than the current \$24.94 for a 36.43 percent increase.